

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/045,331 10/23/2001		10/23/2001	Thomas J. Watson	00-0895.15/US	3864	
22922	7590	04/15/2005		EXAMINER		
14311 1111		NER VAN DEURI	KEASEL, ERIC S			
1000 NORT		RIEL, DOCKET CO ER STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 2100)		3754			
MILWAUK	EE, WI	53202	DATE MAILED: 04/15/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.		Applicant(s)	·			
			10/045,331		WATSON, THOMAS J.				
Offic	e Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit				
			Eric Keasel		3754				
The MA Period for Reply	ILING DATE of this commu	nication appe	ears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ddress			
THE MAILING - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON - If the period for re - Failure to reply wit Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD R DATE OF THIS COMMUN may be available under the provision THS from the mailing date of this com ply specified above is less than thirty (ply is specified above, the maximum s hin the set or extended period for repl by the Office later than three months an adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. us of 37 CFR 1.130 umunication. (30) days, a reply obtained with the control of the co	6(a). In no event, howe within the statutory mini Il apply and will expire S cause the application to	ver, may a reply be tim imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from the	ely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c	ty. ommunication.			
Status									
1)⊠ Respons	ive to communication(s) fil	ed on <u>1</u> 8 Jai	nuary 2005.						
2a)⊠ This acti			action is non-fina	ıl.					
	,								
Disposition of Cla	nims								
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s)	1-7 and 9-11 is/are pending above claim(s) is/a is/are allowed. 1-7 and 9-11 is/are rejecte is/are objected to. are subject to restri	are withdraw	n from considera		·				
Application Paper	'S								
10)⊠ The draw Applicant Replacem	fication is objected to by thing(s) filed on 17 May 2002 may not request that any objected to declaration is objected to	2 is/are: a)∑ ection to the d g the correction	accepted or b) rawing(s) be held i on is required if the	n abeyance. See drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF				
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119								
a) ☐ All b) 1. ☐ Ce 2. ☐ Ce 3. ☐ Co ap	dgment is made of a claim Some * c) None of: rtified copies of the priority rtified copies of the priority pies of the certified copies plication from the Internation	documents documents of the priorit	have been recei have been recei by documents ha (PCT Rule 17.2(ved. ved in Application ve been received a)).	on No d in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of Referen	ces Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🗌 t	nterview Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftspo	erson's Patent Drawing Review (Fosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or		5) 🔲 1	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat	te atent Application (PTC	O-152)			

Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Petition Decision, mailed March 14, 2005, granting the Petition to Revive the application is acknowledged. The present application is returned to a pending status.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because on page 1, line 26, it appears that "spicket" should be "spigot". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-7 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1 and 7 have been amended to recite "a water faucet or the like". It is unclear what is intended to be covered by the claim limitation "or the like".

Claim 9, lines 3 and 4, recites "activating the the water control valve". In addition to the minor typo of the repeated "the", there is no previous recitation to "a water control valve". It is unclear if a previous recitation to "a water control valve" is missing or if the recitation in claim 9 should be to "a water control valve".

Claim 10, lines 7-11, has been amended to recite (in part), "when the water control valve when either the presence of an object within the detection range is determined..." There are either missing words or extra words and the metes and bounds of the phrase are unclear.

Application/Control Number: 10/045,331

Art Unit: 3754

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Page 3

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-7 and 9-11 (as understood) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Philipp (US Patent Number 5,566,702).

Philipp discloses a system, and the associated method of use, comprising an IR transmitter (12) and an IR receiver (14) located proximate a water faucet. Control logic compares the output signal from the receiver with an activation threshold to determine motion and/or the presence of an object within the detection range. A time is used to deactivate the water control valve (see Fig. 5 and the associated text).

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 10/045,331

Art Unit: 3754

Page 4

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric Keasel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4929. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Mar can be reached on (571) 272-4906. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eric Keasel

Primary Examiner

hie Reasel 13APR2005

Art Unit 3754